

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –100)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,521 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio
By: /s/Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-100 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN			
MSN	3	19-00128	City of Clarksdale v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MSN	3	19-00129	City of Grenada v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MSN	3	19-00130	City of Jonestown v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MSN	4	19-00091	City of Indianola v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NORTH DAKOTA			
ND	1	19-00106	Bismarck, City of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	1	19-00107	Burleigh County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	1	19-00115	Dunn County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	1	19-00116	McLean County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	1	19-00120	Williams County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	1	19-00121	Mountrail County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	1	19-00122	Mercer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	3	19-00105	Devils Lake v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	3	19-00108	Walsh County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	3	19-00109	Towner County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	3	19-00110	Barnes County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	3	19-00111	Ramsey County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	3	19-00112	Sargent County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	3	19-00113	Benson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	3	19-00114	Richland County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	3	19-00117	Ransom County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	3	19-00118	Rolette County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	3	19-00123	Grand Forks County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al